

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usupto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/873,978	06/12/1997	JON F. KAYYEM	A-63761-1/RF	2465	
	590 06/23/2003				
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 3400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941114187			EXAMINER		
			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	At in	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/873,978**

Applicant(s)

Kayyem et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marschel

Art Unit 1631



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply	•				
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however,	may a re	ply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the distribution. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (the application to be	(6) MONT come AB	HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 19, 20	03				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This actio	on is non-final.		· ·		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 47, 48, 57, 62-67, 72, and 73			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>72 and 73</u>	. <u>-</u> .		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 47, 48, 57, and 62-67			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	a	re subj	ect to restriction and/or election requirement		
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a□ accepte	d or b	$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held	l in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	: a D	approved by disapproved by the Examine		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this Office acti	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.		•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received	in Apı	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	PCT Rule 17 بر	7.2(a)).	•		
14)						
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		,				
	•	l) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) 🗌 No	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) (State) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1631

Applicants' arguments, filed 3/19/03, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. Upon reconsideration, the following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

PRIORITY CLAIM

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. The status of non-provisional application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No.______" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

NEW MATTER

Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Art Unit: 1631

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Reconsideration of the written basis for claims 47 and 48 has revealed that these claims were not submitted in the original filing in their present form. Reconsideration of the disclosure as originally filed for ethyl-pyridine protected sulfur atom practice reveals that its disclosure is only directed to terminal usage as shown in Figure 4 wherein the so protected sulfur is then utilized for gold electrode attachment as described in the specification on page 7, lines 15-19. Similar terminal protected sulfur atom practice with a trimethylsilyethyl moiety is shown in Figure 21 and described on page 10, last 2 lines. The gold electrode attachment practice with a protected sulfur atom is also summarized on page 65, lines 1-15. In summary, the terminal protected sulfur atom with a conductive oligomer has only been originally disclosed as filed as a terminal group and not generically without limitation as to where it is in the conductive oligomer as is a reasonable interpretation of claims 47 and 48. This broadening of disposition of such protected sulfur atoms is therefore NEW MATTER.

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 57 and 62-67 are rejected, as discussed below, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Abbreviations such as CPG as in claim 57 are generally viewed as vague and indefinite unless accompanied by the full name, optionally in parentheses. Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested.

Claims 62 and 66 cite a metallocene ligand but without defining the cooperativity of said ligand with the conductive oligomer and/or phosphoramidite nucleoside. Clarification as to the disposition of the metallocene ligand in the claimed composition is requested via clearer claim wording. It is noted that claim 64 cites a covalent attachment but then does not define the relative conductive oligomer attachment to the nucleoside. Claims dependent from claims 62 or 66 are also vague and indefinite due to their dependence.

INFORMALITIES

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The Figure designations and the approved Figures do not have correspondence. For example, in the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS starting in the specification on page 7, Figure 1 is described whereas, in contrast, there is no Figure 1 in the approved drawing set, but rather only Figures 1A and 1B. This

non-correspondence also exists for Figures 18A, 18B, 19A, 19B, 20A, and 20B.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 72 and 73 are allowed.

Pursuant to the IDS, filed 3/28/03; the following applications, with any U.S. Patents which have been directly issued from said applications, are hereby made of record as having been considered: 08/743,798; 08/899,510; 08/911,085; 09/557,577; 09/577,429; 10/081,936; 10/236,481; 08/188,036; 08/475,051; 08/660,534; 08/659,987; 08/709,265; 08/709,263; 08/873,598; 08/946,679; 09/100,507; 09/306,749; 09/306,737; 09/306,768; 09/454,498; 09/459,751; 09/459,191; 09/454,497; 09/458,187; 09/545,227; 09/602,618; 09/845,746; 09/866,067; 10/279,742; 08/312,388; 08/786,187; 09/296,111; 09/296,078; 09/915,187; 08/786,153; 08/804,883; and 08/843,623.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Serial No. 08/873,978 - 6 - Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

June 12, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER